



Paediatric Clinical Research Infrastructure Network

Procedures for the setup of neonatal trials

Safety data analyses of neonatal trials: Points to consider

V 1.0, 22 March 2021

Description	This tool provides practical points to consider when planning for the analysis of neonatal safety data
Key words	Neonatal trial, Protocol development, Guidance document, Tool, Safety data analysis

Authors: Beate Aurich, Valéry Elie,
Naura Mahmoudi, Evelyne Jacqz-Aigrain



Disclaimer: Sponsors and researchers unfamiliar with clinical trials in neonates and/or neonatology are advised to seek expert advice due the complexity of neonatology.

Correspondence email: pedcrin@ecrin.org



PedCRIN has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 programmer under grant agreement number 731046

Introduction

Safety data of individual or pooled clinical trials are analysed using descriptive statistics. The data analysis of neonatal studies takes the variability of the neonatal population and its continuous changes into account. At the time of planning a neonatal trial it is helpful to remember that safety data analyses do consist of a planned part, based on the population specific safety profile of the study drug, and review of any new safety signals. The planned data analysis is usually described in the safety analysis plan (SAP), which is part of the protocol. New safety concerns may emerge during the trial or after data lock. These may originate directly from the neonatal trial or may emerge from the literature. Any new safety signal will need careful evaluation of the relevant evidence, including a consideration of any missing data.

Safety data analysis of neonatal trials: Points to consider

Safety data collection and analyses are based on the population specific safety profile of the study drug.^{1,2,3} This includes identified and potential risks as well as any missing information in the study population.³ Differences in the type and frequency of adverse drug reactions (ADRs) and possible difference in the clinical presentation of ADRs compared to older children and adults are considered at the time of planning data collection for a neonatal trial.^{1,2,3,4}

The statistical analysis of neonatal safety data of considers issues such as confounders and risk factors for adverse events/ outcome, sample size, ever changing reference values (e.g. laboratory data, vital signs), frequent off-label use of comedication, growth and development and overall outcome.^{2, 5-6} Table 1 provides a checklist of points to consider when designing a Safety Analysis Plan for a neonatal trial. Where appropriate prenatal confounders or risk factors are included in the analyses.⁷⁻⁸ (see Table 2)

Sample size

One of the challenges in neonatal research is sample size. Willhelm et al. noted that the most common reason for inconclusive Cochrane reviews of neonatal studies was the relatively small number of neonates included in trials.⁹ This does not only impact the conclusion on efficacy, but also limits the robustness of the conclusions for drug safety. Not all safety issues can be predicted and risk factors such as prematurity and confounders (e.g. comedication) may need to be taken into account requiring data stratification thus further reducing statistical power.^{10,11} The frequency of an adverse drug reaction (ADR) determines how likely it is to occur in a given study population. For example, a common adverse drug reaction with a frequency of 1% may or may not be observed in a study with 50 neonates.¹²

Reference values for laboratory data and vital signs

In the neonatal period many reference values for laboratory data and vital signs change with gestational age and/or postnatal age. These changes are organ and function specific and occur progressively at different points in time throughout the neonatal period and beyond.^{13,14} Safety data analysis of neonatal trials takes these changes into account.

Off-label use of comedications

Off-label and unlicensed prescription of medicines is common in the paediatric population and neonates are at highest risk.^{15,16} Off-label and unlicensed use is associated with an increased risk of ADRs and medication errors.¹⁵⁻¹⁷ The safety data analysis of neonatal clinical trial data takes these confounding factors into account in addition to the standard consideration of potential interactions.¹⁸

Growth

The measurement of growth includes weight, head circumference and length.¹⁹ It is not only expressed in the respective continuous variables (i.e. centimetres, gram) but also as percentile or z-score for gestational age in premature neonates and chronological (post-natal) age in term neonates.^{20,21}

Due to the considerable variability in the neonatal population in terms of gestational age and weight, mean/median values are of limited value. Growth data is most commonly analysed and presented by standardised gestational age group because prematurity is a risk factor for adverse outcome.^{2,14,22,23} The use of population specific growth charts should be considered at the time of protocol writing.

Insufficient weight gain in neonates is an overall indicator for disease.¹⁹ Therefore it is good practice to examine data for clinically significant changes in growth.^{2,19}

Safety outcome

The outcome assessment in neonatal trials is a composite endpoint of the treatments received and their combined benefit-risk. It includes an overall assessment of the neonate such as weight, length, head circumference and neurodevelopmental status.^{2,23} Since the presentation of some ADRs or safety outcomes such as neurodevelopmental delay may only become apparent after a lag time, repeated analyses of outcome might be needed.² The definition of drug specific safety outcomes will depend on its neonatal safety profile.

One of the challenges in neonatal research is that diagnosis and treatment of the disease of interest as well as relevant comorbidities, which may modify treatment related outcomes, may vary between different neonatal intensive care units (NICUs) and/or physicians.²⁴⁻²⁵ Since most neonatal trials are multicentre and often multi-country studies these differences should be considered at the time of trial conception. This may, for example, include an observational

study of the incidence of the disease to be studied including standards for diagnostic procedures and criteria, treatment, follow up and outcome.^{26,27,28}

Conclusions

Safety data analyses of neonatal trial data require a thorough understanding of the safety profile of the study drug in the general and neonatal population at the time of writing the protocol, as well as expertise in neonatology and drug safety. Data analysis takes into account the considerable variability of the neonatal population which may include risk factors or confounders for ADRs. Therefore, it is recommended to seek support from an experienced pharmacovigilance physician, ideally with neonatal experience.

Competing interests

All authors consider not having any competing interests for this tool. BA has worked for GlaxoSmithKline between October 2006 and September 2009 and holds company shares. Between October 2009 and May 2015 she has worked for Novartis.

References

1. International Conference on Harmonisation of technical requirements for registration of pharmaceuticals for human use (ICH). ICH Harmonised Tripartite Guideline – Statistic principles for clinical trials E9. Current Step 4 version, 5 February 1998, Geneva. Available at: https://www.ich.org/fileadmin/Public_Web_Site/ICH_Products/Guidelines/Efficacy/E9/Step4/E9_Guideline.pdf
2. International Conference on Harmonisation of technical requirements for registration of pharmaceuticals for human use (ICH). ICH Tripartite Guidelines - Clinical investigation of medicinal products in the pediatric population E11, Current Step 4 version, 20 July 2000, Geneva. Available at: https://www.ich.org/fileadmin/Public_Web_Site/ICH_Products/guidelines/Efficacy/E11/Step4/E11_Guidelines.pdf
3. Kearns GL, Abdel-Rahman SM, Alander SW, Blowey DL, Leeder JS, Kauffman RE. Developmental pharmacology--drug disposition, action, and therapy in infants and children. *N Engl J Med*. 2003 Sep 18;349(12):1157-67.
4. European Medicines Agency (EMA), Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use (CHMP), Paediatric Committee (PDCO). Guideline on conduct of pharmacovigilance for medicines used by the paediatric population. London, 25 January 2007; EMA/CHMP:PhVWP/235910/2005-rev.1
5. Späth C, Zamir I, Sjöström ES, Domellöf M. Use of concentrated parenteral nutrition solutions is associated with improved nutrient intakes and postnatal growth in Very Low-Birth-Weight Infants. *JPEN J Parenter Enteral Nutr*. 2019 Feb 12. doi: 10.1002/jpen.1522.
6. Thunqvist P, Tufvesson E, Bjermer L, Winberg A, Fellman V, Domellöf M, et al. Lung function after extremely preterm birth-A population-based cohort study (EXPRESS). *Pediatr Pulmonol*. 2018 Jan;53(1):64-72. doi: 10.1002/ppul.23919.
7. Yi Wen et al. Maternal demographic and intrapartum antecedents of severe neonatal outcomes at term. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med*. 2018 Nov 8:1-6.
8. Briana et al. Twins and neurodevelopmental outcomes: the effect of IVF, fetal growth restriction, and preterm birth. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med*. 2019 Jul;32(13):2256-2261.
9. Willhelm C, Girisch W, Gottschling S, Gräber S, Wahl H, Meyer S. Systematic Cochrane reviews in neonatology: a critical appraisal. *Pediatr Neonatol*. 2013 Aug;54(4):261-6. doi: 10.1016/j.pedneo.2013.03.002.
10. Weber EJ, Hoo ZH. Why sample size estimates? *Emerg Med J*. 2018 Aug 28. pii: emermed-2018-207763. doi: 10.1136/emermed-2018-207763.



11. Mascha EJ, Vetter TR. Significance, Errors, Power, and Sample Size: The Blocking and Tackling of Statistics. *Anesth Analg*. 2018 Feb;126(2):691-698. doi: 10.1213/ANE.0000000000002741.
12. Reich CG, Ryan PB, Suchard MA. The impact of drug and outcome prevalence on the feasibility and performance of analytical methods for a risk identification and analysis system. *Drug Saf*. 2013 Oct;36 Suppl 1:S195-204. doi: 10.1007/s40264-013-0112-0.
13. Kearns GL, Abdel-Rahman SM, Alander SW, Blowey DL, Leeder JS, Kauffman RE. Developmental pharmacology--drug disposition, action, and therapy in infants and children. *N Engl J Med*. 2003 Sep 18;349(12):1157-67.
14. Manuck TA, Rice MM, Bailit JL, Grobman WA, Reddy UM, Wapner RJ, et al. Preterm neonatal morbidity and mortality by gestational age: a contemporary cohort. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2016 Jul;215(1):103.e1-103.e14. doi: 10.1016/j.ajog.2016.01.004.
15. Conroy S, Choonara I, Impicciatore P, Mohn A, Arnell H, et al. Survey of unlicensed and off label drug use in paediatric wards in European countries. *European Network for Drug Investigation in Children*. *BMJ*. 2000 Jan 8;320(7227):79-82.
16. Conroy S, McIntyre J, Choonara I. Unlicensed and off label drug use in neonates. *Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed*. 1999 Mar;80(2):F142-4; discussion F144-5.
17. Conroy S. Association between licence status and medication errors. *Arch Dis Child*. 2011 Mar;96(3):305-6. doi: 10.1136/adc.2010.191940.
18. International Conference on Harmonisation of technical requirements for registration of pharmaceuticals for human use (ICH). ICH Harmonised Tripartite Guideline – Guideline for Good Clinical Practice E6(R1). Current Step 4 version 10 June 1996, Geneva. Available at: https://www.ich.org/fileadmin/Public_Web_Site/ICH_Products/Guidelines/Efficacy/E6/E6_R1_Guideline.pdf
19. World Health Organization (WHO). WHO child growth standards: training course on child growth assessment. 2008, Geneva. Available at: <http://www.who.int/iris/handle/10665/43601>
20. Fenton TR, Kim JH. A systematic review and meta-analysis to revise the Fenton growth chart for preterm infants. *BMC Pediatr*. 2013 Apr 20;13:59. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2431-13-59>.
21. World Health Organization (WHO). The WHO Child Growth Standards. Geneva 2016. Available from: <http://www.who.int/childgrowth/standards/en/>
22. International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO). WHO: recommended definitions, terminology and format for statistical tables related to the perinatal period and use of a new certificate for cause of perinatal deaths. Modifications recommended by FIGO as amended October 14, 1976. *Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand*. 1977;56(3):247-53. <https://doi.org/10.3109/00016347709162009>
23. Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use (CHMP), Paediatric Committee (POCO). Guideline on the investigation of medicinal products in the term and preterm neonates. 2009, European Medicines Agency, London. Available at: https://www.ema.europa.eu/documents/scientific-guideline/draft-guideline-investigation-medicinal-products-term-preterm-neonate_en.pdf
24. Leroux S, Zhao W, Bétrémieux P, Pladys P, Saliba E, Jacqz-Aigrain E; French Society of Neonatology. Therapeutic guidelines for prescribing antibiotics in neonates should be evidence-based: a French national survey. *Arch Dis Child*. 2015 Apr;100(4):394-8. doi: 10.1136/archdischild-2014-306873.
25. Adeli K, Higgins V, Seccombe D, Collier CP, Balion CM, Cembrowski G, et al. National Survey of Adult and Pediatric Reference Intervals in Clinical Laboratories across Canada: A Report of the CSCC Working Group on Reference Interval Harmonization. *Clin Biochem*. 2017 Nov;50(16-17):925-935. doi: 10.1016/j.clinbiochem.2017.06.006.
26. Moran C, Smith PB, Cohen-Wolkowicz M, Benjamin DK Jr. Clinical trial design in neonatal pharmacology: effect of center differences, with lessons from the Pediatric Oncology Cooperative Research experience. *Clin Pharmacol Ther*. 2009 Dec;86(6):589-91. doi: 10.1038/clpt.2009.175.
27. Das A, Tyson J, Pedroza C, Schmidt B, Gantz M, Wallace D, Truog WE, Higgins RD. Methodological issues in the design and analyses of neonatal research studies: Experience of the NICHD Neonatal Research Network. *Semin Perinatol*. 2016 Oct;40(6):374-384. doi: 10.1053/j.semperi.2016.05.005.
28. Silverman HJ, Miller FG. Control group selection in critical care randomized controlled trials evaluating interventional strategies: An ethical assessment. *Crit Care Med*. 2004 Mar;32(3):852-7.



Table 1. Safety data analysis of neonatal trials: Check list of points to consider

Points to consider in safety data analyses	Considered	Not considered	Not applicable	Comments/notes
Age appropriate reference values for laboratory data				
Haematology ¹				
Biochemistry ^{2,3}				
Age appropriate reference values for vital signs				
Heart rate ⁴				
Blood pressure ⁵				
Respiratory rate ⁶				
Population specific reference values for growth				
Premature neonates ⁷				
Trisomy 21 ⁸				
Healthy neonate born at term ⁹⁻¹¹				
Population specific reference values for neurodevelopment				
Bayley scale ^{12,13}				
Population specific safety outcome				
Neonatal safety profile of study drug (identified and potential risks, missing information) ¹⁴⁻¹⁸				
Confounders and risk factors ^{16,19-21}				
References: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Henry et al. Reference Intervals in Neonatal Hematology. Clin Perinatol. 2015 Sep;42(3):483-97. doi: 10.1016/j.clp.2015.04.005. Hoq et al. Reference Values for 30 Common Biochemistry Analytes Across 5 Different Analyzers in Neonates and Children 30 Days to 18 Years of Age. Clin Chem. 2019 Oct;65(10):1317-1326. doi: 10.1373/clinchem.2019.306431. Adeli et al. Biochemical marker reference values across pediatric, adult, and geriatric ages: establishment of robust pediatric and adult reference intervals on the basis of the Canadian Health Measures Survey. Clin Chem. 2015 Aug;61(8):1049-62. doi: 10.1373/clinchem.2015.240515. Alonzo et al. Heart rate ranges in premature neonates using high resolution physiologic data. J Perinatol. 2018 Sep;38(9):1242-1245. doi: 10.1038/s41372-018-0156-1. Alonzo et al. Blood pressure ranges via non-invasive and invasive monitoring techniques in premature neonates using high resolution physiologic data. J Neonatal Perinatal Med. 2019 Nov 16. doi: 10.3233/NPM-190260. Blank et al. Respiratory changes in term infants immediately after birth. Resuscitation. 2018 Sep;130: 105-110. doi: 10.1016/j.resuscitation.2018.07.008. Fenton et al. A systematic review and meta-analysis to revise the Fenton growth chart for preterm infants. BMC Pediatr. 2013 Apr 20;13:59. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2431-13-59 				

8. **Bertapelli** et al. Growth charts for Brazilian children with Down syndrome: Birth to 20 years of age. *J Epidemiol.* 2017 Jun;27(6):265-273. doi: 10.1016/j.je.2016.06.009.
9. **Natale** et al. Worldwide variation in human growth and the World Health Organization growth standards: a systematic review. *BMJ Open.* 2014 Jan 8;4(1):e003735. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2013-003735.
10. **Juliusson** et al. Growth of Belgian and Norwegian children compared to the WHO growth standards: prevalence below -2 and above +2 SD and the effect of breastfeeding. *Arch Dis Child.* 2011 Oct;96(10): 916-21. doi: 10.1136/adc.2009.166157.
11. **World Health Organization (WHO).** The WHO Child Growth Standards. Geneva 2016. Available from: <http://www.who.int/childgrowth/standards/en/>
12. **Hoskens** et al. Validity and cross-cultural differences of the **Bayley Scales of Infant and Toddler Development**, Third Edition in typically developing infants. *Early Hum Dev.* 2018 Oct;125:17-25. doi: 10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2018.07.002.
13. **Romeo DM.** Correcting for prematurity with the **Bayley Scales of Infant Development.** *Dev Med Child Neurol.* 2018 Aug;60(8):736-737. doi: 10.1111/dmcn.13741.
14. **International Conference on Harmonisation (ICH)** of technical requirements for registration of pharmaceuticals for human use. ICH Harmonised Tripartite Guideline – Statistic principles for clinical trials E9. Current Step 4 version, 5 February 1998, Geneva. Available at: https://www.ich.org/fileadmin/Public_Web_Site/ICH_Products/Guidelines/Efficacy/E9/Step4/E9_Guideline.pdf
15. **International Conference on Harmonisation (ICH)** of technical requirements for registration of pharmaceuticals for human use. ICH Tripartite Guidelines - Clinical investigation of medicinal products in the pediatric population E11, Current Step 4 version, 20 July 2000, Geneva. Available at: https://www.ich.org/fileadmin/Public_Web_Site/ICH_Products/guidelines/Efficacy/E11/Step4/E11_Guidelines.pdf
16. **European Medicines Agency (EMA).** Guideline on good pharmacovigilance practices (GVP) Module IX – Signal management (Rev 1). London, 9 October 2017, EMA/827661/2011 Rev 1*. Available at: https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/scientific-guideline/guideline-good-pharmacovigilance-practices-gvp-module-ix-signal-management-rev-1_en.pdf
17. **European Medicines Agency (EMA),** Committee for Medicinal Products for Human Use (CHMP), Paediatric Committee (PDCO). Guideline on conduct of pharmacovigilance for medicines used by the paediatric population. London, 25 January 2007; EMA/CHMP:PhVWP/235910/2005-rev.1
18. **European Medicines Agency (EMA).** Guideline on good pharmacovigilance practices (GVP) Product-specific considerations IV: Paediatric population. London 25 October 2018; EMA/572054/2016.
19. **Steinke DT.** Pharmacoepidemiology and pharmacovigilance – Essentials of pharmaco-epidemiology. In: Thomas D, editor. Clinical pharmacy education, practice and research. 1st Ed., London, Elsevier; 2018. P203-214. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-814276-9.00014-3.
20. **Moran C,** Smith PB, Cohen-Wolkowicz M, Benjamin DK Jr. Clinical trial design in neonatal pharmacology: effect of center differences, with lessons from the Pediatric Oncology Cooperative Research experience. *Clin Pharmacol Ther.* 2009 Dec;86(6):589-91. doi: 10.1038/clpt.2009.175.
21. **Das A,** Tyson J, Pedroza C, Schmidt B, Gantz M, Wallace D, Truog WE, Higgins RD. Methodological issues in the design and analyses of neonatal research studies: Experience of the NICHD Neonatal Research Network. *Semin Perinatol.* 2016 Oct;40(6):374-384. doi: 10.1053/j.semperi.2016.05.005.

