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Introduction

Clinical trial outcome is defined as a patient’s response to an intervention, for example treatment with an antibiotic.\textsuperscript{1,2} It can be assessed in a variety of ways including pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics, efficacy and safety using clinical and/or biological parameters.\textsuperscript{1,3} It is recorded for all patients independent of treatment allocation (active and control arm) and can be subjective or objective.\textsuperscript{1} Outcome can be assessed by health care providers, patients and observers (i.e. parents) using standardised, validated methods.\textsuperscript{1,4} Outcome may vary between different neonatal diseases and also between neonatal intensive care units (NICU).\textsuperscript{5} Efficacy and safety outcomes influence the evaluation of the benefit-risk ratio of treatment.\textsuperscript{6-8} Research into neonatal outcome is currently conducted by a variety of initiatives including for example Core Outcomes in Neonates (COIN).\textsuperscript{9}

Factors influencing neonatal outcome

Risk factors for severe adverse neonatal outcome (SANO) include for example prematurity (below 37 weeks of completed gestation) and abnormal birth weight (below 2500 gram or above 4000 gram), and can have different underlying aetiologies.\textsuperscript{10-16} Other risk factors include congenital disease, in-utero exposure to maternal disease and medications as well as complications during delivery.\textsuperscript{11,12,17,18}

Maternal factors and complications during delivery

Maternal diseases and complications during pregnancy and delivery can be risk factors for SANO.\textsuperscript{19} During protocol development for multicentre trials consideration might be given to potential differences in the type and frequency of diseases in women of child bearing potential in different populations.\textsuperscript{12,13}

Prematurity

Common conditions in preterm neonates (<37 weeks gestation) include for example sepsis, respiratory distress, intraventricular haemorrhage, hyperbilirubinaemia, persistent ductus arteriosus and necrotizing enterocolitis.\textsuperscript{15,16,20} Whilst premature delivery is considered to be a multi-factorial process, infections are thought to be a frequent cause of premature birth and may cause neonatal sepsis and mortality.\textsuperscript{21-23} Neonates born prematurely may have more than one risk factor for morbidity and mortality. For example, a premature neonate born at 24 weeks gestation will have a birth weight below 2500 gram and may have, in addition, a congenital malformation. During protocol development consideration should be given to how gestational age is assessed and this should be included in the methods section of the protocol.\textsuperscript{24}
**Birth weight**

Both premature and term neonates may have a low birth weight.\(^{25}\) Birth weight is routinely assessed correcting for gestational age and sex (percentile or z-score).\(^{26,27}\) Neonates with a birth weight below the 10th percentile (z-score below -2 standard deviations) for gestational age are considered small for gestational age (SGA).\(^{26}\) Maternal hypertension, diabetes mellitus, chronic maternal infections and congenital diseases are for example associated with SGA.\(^{27}\) These SGA neonates have a higher risk of morbidity and mortality including for example necrotizing enterocolitis, severe intraventricular haemorrhage, bronchopulmonary dysplasia, polycythaemia, hypoglycaemia, hyperbilirubinaemia and severe retinopathy of prematurity.\(^{28-30}\)

Whilst low birth weight is associated with prematurity, it is an additional independent risk factor for adverse neonatal outcome.\(^{25}\) Diabetes, excessive weight gain in pregnancy and a maternal body mass index above 25 are associated with a birth weight above 4000 gram and large for gestational age (LGA) babies (i.e. above the 90th percentile for gestational age; z-score above +2 standard deviations).\(^{26,31}\) LGA can also be associated with certain congenital syndromes.\(^{26}\) LGA babies are at increased risk of birth injuries, respiratory distress, hyperbilirubinaemia, hypoglycaemia and polycythaemia.\(^{19,27}\)

**Comorbidities**

Examples of common morbidities observed in Neonatal Intensive Care Units (NICU) include infections, gastrointestinal, cardiac, intracranial and pulmonary diseases.\(^{32,33}\) Their aetiology is often multifactorial and they present clinically in a variety of ways. For example, symptoms of sepsis may include hyperbilirubinaemia, necrotizing enterocolitis, coagulopathy, hypoglycaemia and/or respiratory failure.\(^{28,34-36}\) Abnormal coagulation in turn increases the risk of intraventricular haemorrhage.\(^{37}\)

**Comedications**

The type and number of concomitant medicines are determined by the comorbidities, which in turn are influenced by the above mentioned risk factors for neonatal disease.\(^{16,29}\) In addition, drug-drug interactions and drug-disease interactions should be considered because they may influence outcome.\(^{38,39}\) The frequency of off-label/unlicensed use of medicines and medication errors as well as issues with the provision of age adapted formulations in NICU should be taken into account.\(^{40-42}\) Off-label and unlicensed use of medicines is associated with a higher risk of adverse drug reactions and medication errors.\(^{43,44}\) Additional factors, which may modify outcome, include for example possible differences between different NICUs in treatment protocols for comorbidities (i.e. criteria for initiating treatment, the specific drugs used, doses, frequency and duration of therapy).\(^{45,46}\) It is important to address these potential differences at the time of protocol writing and trial set up in order to reduce bias and confounding.
Short-term outcome in neonates — Points to consider

Neonates in NICU are routinely monitored closely with an attempt to balance the requirement for invasive testing against the right of the neonate not to be subject to unnecessary disruption and distress.47 Therefore, existing investigations should be used as much as possible for the assessment of short-term outcome.47-50 Where additional testing cannot be avoided an attempt should be made to group this with other invasive procedures.51,52 Assessing neonatal clinical outcome require considerable expertise.53 Therefore, researchers unfamiliar with neonatology are advised to consult neonatologists and NICU nurses to ensure clinical trial procedures are sufficiently adapted to the population and can be followed in a busy NICU. Table 1 provides examples of data items which might inform the assessment short-term outcome in neonatal trials.

Conclusions

Assessing outcome in neonates is complex. Key factors influencing neonatal outcome include, for example, maternal disease, in-utero drug exposure, birth weight, gestational age at birth, comorbidities and comedication. Therefore, analysis of clinical trial data needs to be stratified by risk factors and confounders for outcome. The methods of neonatal outcome assessment, including the duration of follow up, for both efficacy and safety, need careful consideration as they may differ considerably from older children and adults.
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### Table 1. Examples of data items for the assessment of short-term outcome in neonates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of outcome</th>
<th>Examples of short-term outcome</th>
<th>Examples of references</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Efficacy</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease specific</td>
<td>Cure</td>
<td>1-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Worsening</td>
<td>1-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Improvement (but not cured)</td>
<td>1-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Time to event (e.g. surgery)</td>
<td>1,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Quality of life following treatment</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Death due to disease being treated</td>
<td>1-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Safety</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug/ intervention specific</td>
<td>Adverse drug reactions [ADR] (e.g. type, severity, seriousness, time to onset, duration, sequelae)</td>
<td>5,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Interactions</td>
<td>5,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Quality of life following an ADR</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Short-term sequelae of ADRs</td>
<td>5,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Death due to ADR or its sequelae</td>
<td>5,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overall</strong></td>
<td>Development</td>
<td>3,7-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Growth</td>
<td>3,7,8,10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Quality of life</td>
<td>3,4,7,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Morbidity</td>
<td>3,7,8,11,12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Death (any cause)</td>
<td>3,7,8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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